



File / dossier : 6.01.07

Date: 2022-03-28

Edocs: 6766016

**Written submission from
Douglas Carmody**

**Mémoire de
Douglas Carmody**

In the Matter of the

À l'égard de la

**New Brunswick Power Corporation,
Point Lepreau Nuclear Generating Station**

**Société d'Énergie du Nouveau-Brunswick,
centrale nucléaire de Point Lepreau**

Application for the renewal of NB Power's
licence for the Point Lepreau Nuclear
Generating Station

Demande de renouvellement du permis
d'Énergie NB pour la centrale nucléaire de
Point Lepreau

**Commission Public Hearing
Part 2**

**Audience publique de la Commission
Partie 2**

May 11 and 12, 2022

11 et 12 mai 2022

From: Douglas Carmody
Sent: March 28, 2022 10:24 PM
To: Interventions / Interventions (CNSC/CCSN)
Subject: Re: NB Power's request to renew the licence of PLNGS for 25 years would effectively prevent any public input during the remaining life of the station. Please say no and leave at 5 year licence periods.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for the time for considering my thoughts on what I consider to be an unreasonable request from NB power: a 25 year license for the Point Lepreau Nuclear Power Generation Plant.

With the pace of the reactors' ageing likely to accelerate, one must more regularly call into question issues on reliability, costs, efficiencies, and safety especially as this structure exists next to a major ocean habitat and fishing grounds and exist as an aging structure during an era of an unprecedented rapidly changing global climate with new environmental extremes in weather.

Effectively prevent anyone from giving public input during the remaining life of the station so as do not be able to consider those issues as well as emerging Geo political insecurity, nuclear waste disposal dynamics and the potential for the weaponization of such disposal chemicals is gob smacking.

We need to be guaranteed the most secure, safe, equitable, affordable and reasonable energy source for humans and the planet. Noting that we are in very complex time in human history while we are dealing with a very complex older structure with a suboptimal history to date, reviewing every 25 years is totally not within reason.

5 years is.

Thank you for your consideration of my view.

Sincerely

Douglas Carmody MD
Summerside, PEI