

Minutes of the 295th Meeting of the Atomic Energy Control Board held in the Public Hearing Room, AECEB Offices, 280 Slater Street, Ottawa, Ontario, Thursday, January 20, 2000, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

Present:

Dr. A.J. Bishop, Chair
Dr. C.R. Barnes
Dr. A.J. Carty
Dr. Y.M. Giroux
Mr. A.R. Graham

P. Marchildon, Secretary
A. Nowack, Senior Counsel
B. Gerestein, Recording Secretary

Board staff advisers were M. Duncan, S. Fraser, J. Harvie, D. Howard, R. Jammal, K. Klassen, R. Leblanc, G. Poirier, G. Schwarz, P. Thompson and J. Waddington.

Chair and Secretary

1. The President took the chair and the Secretary of the Board acted as Secretary of the meeting with the Manager, Board Services Group acting as recording secretary.

Constitution

2. Notice of meeting having been properly given (BMD 00-01) and a quorum of Members being present, the meeting was declared to be properly constituted for the transaction of any business that might be brought before it.

3. Since the meeting of December 16, 1999, Board Member Documents BMD 00-01 to BMD 00-14 had been distributed to Members. These documents are further detailed in Annex A of these minutes.

Adoption of Agenda

4. The updated agenda, BMD 00-02.1, was adopted as presented.

DECISION

Preliminary List of Agenda Items for the February 17, 2000, Board Meeting

5. Members received for information the preliminary list of agenda items for the next meeting of the Board, February 17, 2000; reference BMD 00-03.

Minutes of the 294th Meeting of the Board, December 16, 1999

6. On motion duly moved and seconded, the Board approved the draft minutes of the 294th meeting; reference BMD 00-04.

Submission regarding the November 4, 1999, Meeting of the Board

7. Members received for information correspondence from Chief Ralph Akiwenzie relating to the Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage proposal which was received for Initial Consideration at the November 4, 1999, meeting of the Board and is on today's agenda; reference BMD 00-14. The Chair noted that the position of the Chippewas of Nawash First Nation has not changed since the previous submission was made.

Business Arising

8. The Board received for information the report on business arising from the previous minutes, as itemized in BMD 00-05. It was noted that the due date for the item on page 7 of the BMD should read 2001-10-01 instead of 2002-10-01.

Significant Development Report

9. Members reviewed Significant Development Report No. 2000-01 with staff who provided updates; reference BMD 00-06.

Power Reactors: In response to a Member's question regarding item 1.1., it was stated that the operator received some level 2 and 3 burns. C. Jobe, representing Ontario Power Generation (OPG), in response to a Member's question about the accident, indicated that a fire occurred on this occasion because combustible material was present in the damaged valve. In response to a question from the Chair about the situation at other nuclear stations, AECB staff indicated that the problem which resulted in the Darlington NGS accident had been previously corrected at the Point Lepreau and Gentilly-2 stations.

Status of Legal Issues

10. Members reviewed with Senior Counsel the report on the Status of Legal Issues; reference BMD 00-07. Senior Counsel noted that the prosecution involving AECL has been adjourned to February 15, 2000.

Next meetings of the Board

11. The Chair noted that the next meetings of the Board will be held February 17, 2000, in Ottawa, Ontario, with a January 27, 2000 deadline for submissions, and March 23, 2000, in Ottawa, Ontario with a March 2, 2000 deadline for submissions.

Ontario Power Generation - Bruce Nuclear Power Development Site 2 Used Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage Facility

12. The Chair noted that construction approval for the Used Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage Facility is being sought at this time and that, if granted, a further application and decision would be required for operation of the facility. The Chair also noted that staff from Ontario Power Generation (OPG), the applicant, were in attendance to respond to questions and that G.C. Andognini, OPG, had described in BMD 00-08.11 the basis for requesting that the Board proceed with the application at today's meeting, regardless of the availability of the court decision in the related legal challenge.

Members then received for consideration a presentation from AECB staff on the request of OPG to amend the current Bruce Nuclear Power Development Radioactive Waste Operations Site 2 Operating Licence to expand the licensed area and to authorize the construction of the Bruce Used Fuel Dry Storage Facility, Township of Bruce, Ontario.

AECB staff reviewed licensing issues, public interest in the proposal and the process followed under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*; reference BMD 00-08 and attached overheads. Staff indicated that there is a pending judgment of the Federal Court in a judicial review involving the environmental assessment for this project and that there is no court decision preventing the Board from considering information and submissions with respect to the licensing of the project. Staff then addressed the issues raised in submissions from intervenors. Staff provided information on neutron doses indicating that the doses to members of the public would be negligible. Staff also addressed the concern about a possible link between neutron doses and childhood leukemia, indicating that the neutron dose is so low that it cannot be linked to childhood leukemia.

Staff also provided a follow up to the McLaughlin childhood leukemia study noting that Canadian studies have not documented a relationship between paternal radiation exposures and childhood leukemia. Staff concluded that there is little support to the hypothesis that excess rates of childhood leukemia can be attributed to living near Canadian nuclear power plants.

Staff also indicated that, in cooperation with Health Canada, they are considering setting up a cancer surveillance system for cancers in the proximity of all major nuclear facilities in Canada. Staff also provided comments on the affidavit information of Dr. D. Hoel, submitted by the Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association (IDRA). Staff explained the types of tests that were done for the studies in question, to which Dr. Hoel's comments were directed.

In response to a question about the nearby rail line, Members were informed that the part of the line from which there could be access to the facility is wholly within the Bruce Nuclear Power Development site, is not in use and is not accessible to the public. In response to a Member's question on the waste to be stored at the facility, K. Nash, OPG, responded that the facility is only for Bruce A and B used fuel. AECB staff indicated that a licence amendment would be required if fuel were to come from other facilities. In response to a question from the Chair on security, AECB staff indicated that security measures have been evaluated and are satisfactory.

Members received for consideration a presentation in support of the proposal from G. Jarrell, Mayor of Kincardine (formerly the township of Kincardine-Bruce-Tiverton); reference BMD 00-08.1. In response to a Member's question about local public interest in the proposal, G. Jarrell indicated that the only opposition to the proposal he is aware of comes from the Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association.

Members received for consideration a presentation in support of the proposal from G. Jarrell, representing H. Ribey, South Bruce Impact Advisory Committee; reference BMD 00-08.2.

Members received for consideration a presentation in opposition to the proposal from S. Kleinau, Citizens for Renewable Energy; reference BMD 00-08.3 and BMD 00-08.3A. S. Kleinau indicated that he had not received a reply to his correspondence sent to the President by facsimile last week (BMD 00-08.3A) in which he suggested a postponement of the current decision pending the judgment of the Federal Court. The Chair indicated that the correspondence forms part of the submission at today's meeting and that the submission on postponement was directed at the decision rather than the hearing. S. Kleinau then commented on an earthquake which occurred in northeastern Ontario on January 1, 2000, asking if the proposed facilities could withstand a larger earthquake. AECB staff indicated that the project has been seismically assessed and approved. Paul Dinner, OPG, outlined the design basis for the proposed facility. In response to a Member's question, AECB staff indicated that the design basis is not based on Richter Scale levels and cannot be easily be converted into that terminology owing to many variables, including distance from the centre of the earthquake. S. Kleinau remarked that since the entire Bruce Nuclear Power Development (BNPD) site protrudes into

the lake, the likelihood of damage in the event of an earthquake is higher than it otherwise might be.

A Member asked the OPG representatives about the potential effect of a one-year delay or postponement in the project. K. Nash indicated that construction is a lengthy process which needs to begin shortly in order to have the facility constructed by 2002 and ready to receive fuel in 2003. K. Nash commented that the schedule is planned so that the current fuel storage should not reach capacity. The first step would be a letter of intent to contractors in April, 2000.

In response to a question from the Chair about his statement in BMD 00-08.3 regarding the “immense” environmental impact if construction were to be approved, S. Kleinau indicated that there could be soil and water contamination due to disturbance from construction activity. Board Members asked staff for information on the soil at the site of the proposed construction. AECB staff indicated that effects of construction on the site had been considered, and that BNPD emissions are so low that it would be very surprising for any soil contamination to occur. Staff also noted that environmental monitoring has consistently indicated that emission levels are within allowable levels. The Chair indicated that the applicant must investigate the soil at the site prior to construction to provide assurance in this regard. K. Nash indicated that OPG would commit to soil testing and provide the results to the AECB before commencing construction.

(The meeting recessed at 10:10 a.m. for 15 minutes)

The Chair indicated that M. Wooster would not make a presentation. Members then received for consideration the submission in opposition to the proposal from M. Wooster, Great Lakes United; reference BMD 00-08.4.

The Chair indicated that the Bruce Business Innovation Group would not make a presentation. Members then received for consideration the submission, offering qualified support for the proposal, from the Bruce Business Innovation Group; reference BMD 00-08.5.

Members received for consideration a presentation on the proposal from N. de la Chevrotiere, Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association (IDRA); reference BMD 00-08.9, BMD 00-08.9A, BMD 00-08.9B, and attachment. N. de la Chevrotiere commented that the decision-making process lacks transparency as evidenced by design changes which were put forward without public notification. He added that in spite of design changes the AECB informed the Minister of the Environment that everything was in order and could proceed. N. de la Chevrotiere stated that this information was revealed only in IDRA’s legal action, which is pending before the Federal Court, and indicated that an independent study of the proposal is

required. He further indicated that the report of Dr. Hoel should be considered and that further cancer and other health studies should be undertaken. The Chair stated that, having heard the comments by AECB staff, many of the conclusions in Dr. Hoel's report are similar to comments of AECB staff regarding the need for further study and the type of test used. N. de la Chevrotiere submitted that the project is being considered prematurely. In response to a Member's question about the availability of Dr. Hoel's report, N. de la Chevrotiere explained the delay in providing it to the Board.

The Chair asked AECB staff why the soil of the proposed site was not evaluated to ascertain if contamination exists. Staff indicated that site characterization work had been done and information requested from the proponent on the effects of construction on biota. The information was based on the movement of material and potential effects of that. Staff also indicated that based on the monitoring of emissions from BNPD there is no reason to consider the soil currently at the site to be contaminated as a result of emissions.

The Chair asked the proponent if it realized the risk to OPG of proceeding at the present time, even if AECB approval is given, considering that there is a pending judgment of the Federal Court involving the environmental assessment for the project. K. Nash indicated that the proponent is fully aware of the risk.

Members received for consideration a submission in support of the proposal from M. Kraemer, Mayor of the Town of Saugeen Shores; reference BMD 00-08.6.

Members received for consideration a submission in support of the proposal from W. Roberts, on behalf of the Town of Hanover, expressing no objection to the application; reference BMD 00-08.7.

Members received for consideration a submission in support of the proposal from S. Reavie, Mayor of the Township of Huron-Kinloss; reference BMD 00-08.8.

Members received for consideration a submission to defer consideration of this matter from I. Kock, Nuclear Awareness Project; reference BMD 00-08.10.

Members received for consideration a submission from F. Mackesy on the subject proposal; reference BMD 00-08.12.

N. de la Chevrotiere provided additional comments reminding the Board that one of the radioactive waste management facilities at the BNPD is leaking and that there are problems with other existing waste management facilities on the site. The Chair indicated that the application is for a different facility.

AECB staff provided additional information relating to the earlier discussion on earthquake protection. AECB staff stated that earthquake protection at nuclear facilities is a requirement which is based on Canadian standards, taking into consideration the seismic history of the area. Staff indicated that a design basis ground motion is developed and put forward as the engineering basis for the design and construction of the facility, similar to that for the power reactor station itself. Regarding a Member's question about Richter Scale comparisons, staff indicated that while difficult to make exact comparisons, the design basis can be compared to a magnitude 6 earthquake close by or a magnitude 8 earthquake a long distance away. AECB staff also commented on public concern regarding dose limits and the effects of the nuclear stations at the Bruce site. Staff reviewed previously-submitted material on exposures and dose levels noting that the calculated dose estimate would be about 7 microsieverts per year to the most exposed person. By comparison, staff indicated that the radiation dose from background sources is about 3000 microsieverts. Staff concluded that the dose to the average person would likely be about 0.07 microsieverts and that the number of cancers expected in the whole population living around the facilities, as a result of this dose, is essentially zero.

(Continued in item 18)

University of Toronto - Radioactive Waste Management Facility

13. Members received for consideration a presentation from AECB staff on the request from the University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, for renewal of its Radioactive Waste Management Facility Operating Licence; reference BMD 00-09.

(Continued in item 19)

Manitoba Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation

14. Members received for consideration a presentation from AECB staff on the request of the Manitoba Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation, Winnipeg, Manitoba, for a Particle Accelerator Operating Licence for the purpose of commissioning a particle accelerator; reference BMD 00-10.

(Continued in item 20)

Cancer Care Ontario - Northeastern Ontario Regional Cancer Centre

15. Members received for consideration a presentation from AECB staff on the request of Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, for construction approval for a particle accelerator facility at the Northeastern Ontario Regional Cancer Centre, Sudbury, Ontario; reference BMD 00-11.

(Continued in item 21)

British Columbia Cancer Agency - Fraser Valley Cancer Centre

16. Members received for consideration a presentation from AECB staff on the request of the British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, B.C., for construction approval for a particle accelerator facility at the Fraser Valley Cancer Centre, Surrey, British Columbia; reference BMD 00-12. It was noted that the word 'must' should be inserted after the word 'staff' in the last sentence of section 3 of the BMD.

(Continued in item 22)

Update - Introduction of a Limit of Five Years on AECB Authorizations for Operations Personnel at Nuclear Power Plants

17. Members received for information an update report from AECB staff on progress being made in the development of standards needed to implement the five-year renewal of authorizations for operations personnel at nuclear power plants; reference BMD 00-13. This BMD also contained a summary of requalification practices of other countries and of the experience of the United States with renewal of operator licenses. During discussion with Members, staff indicated that the proposed AECB approach to requalification testing of authorized personnel is similar to the approach that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission finally adopted in the mid 1990s and which reflects the experience the Commission gained over the years. A member welcomed the additional information on how other countries approach operator authorizations and indicated that having it earlier might have been even more beneficial. C. Jobe, OPG, stated that progress being made in the development of the nuclear power plant licensees' proposed standard for requalification tests is satisfactory and that OPG supports this initiative.

(The meeting continued in camera at 11:30 a.m.)

Ontario Power Generation - Bruce Nuclear Power Development
Site 2 Used Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage Facility (Continued from item 12)

18. The Board noted that it had received and considered presentations and submissions from the applicant, AECB staff and numerous intervenors, including a local ratepayers association, public interest organizations and municipal representatives.

After due consideration, the Board approved the issuance of an amendment to the Bruce Nuclear Power Development (BNPD) Radioactive Waste Operations Site 2 Waste Management Facility Operating Licence, to Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG), Toronto, Ontario, to expand the licensed area to include the proposed Bruce Used Fuel Dry Storage Facility and to authorize the construction of the Bruce Used Fuel Dry Storage Facility at the BNPD Radioactive Waste Operations Site 2, County of Bruce, Ontario. DECISION

The Board recognized that this decision may be affected by the pending judgment of the Federal Court in a judicial review involving the environmental assessment for this project.

The Board also noted that it had obtained assurance from OPG that it would conduct soil tests on the site and convey the results to the AECB prior to beginning construction.

University of Toronto - Radioactive Waste Management Facility
(Continued from item 13)

19. Having received and heard the presentation of AECB staff, the Board approved the issuance of a Waste Management Facility Operating Licence to the University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, for a two-year period to January 31, 2002. DECISION

Manitoba Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation
(Continued from item 14)

20. Having received and heard the presentation of AECB staff, the Board approved the issuance of an Accelerator Operating Licence to the Manitoba Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation, Winnipeg, Manitoba, for a four-year period to February 1, 2004. DECISION

Cancer Care Ontario - Northeastern Ontario Regional Cancer Centre
(Continued from item 15)

21. Having received and heard the presentation of AECB staff, the Board approved the issuance of an Accelerator Construction Approval to Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, for the Northeastern Ontario Regional Cancer Centre, Sudbury, Ontario for a four-year period to January 31, 2004.

DECISION

British Columbia Cancer Agency - Fraser Valley Cancer Centre
(Continued from item 16)

22. Having received and heard the presentation of AECB staff, the Board approved the issuance of an Accelerator Construction Approval to the British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver, British Columbia, for the Fraser Valley Cancer Centre, Surrey, British Columbia, for a four-year period to January 31, 2004.

DECISION

Closing

23. The meeting closed on consensus at 12:15 p.m.

Chair

Recording Secretary

Secretary

ANNEX A / ANNEXE A

BMD	DATE	File No / No de dossier
00-01 Notice of meeting Avis de convocation	1999-12-20	(1-3-1-5)
00-02 Agenda of the 295th Meeting of the Atomic Energy Control Board to be held in the Public Hearing Room, 14th floor, 280 Slater Street, Ottawa, Ontario, Thursday January 20, 2000 beginning at 8:30 a.m. L'ordre du jour de la 295e réunion de la Commission de contrôle de l'énergie atomique qui se tiendra dans la salle des audiences publiques, au 14e étage du 280, rue Slater, Ottawa (Ontario), le jeudi 20 janvier 2000, à compter de 8 h 30.	2000-01-04	(1-3-1-5)
00-02.1 Agenda of the 295th Meeting of the Atomic Energy Control Board to be held in the Public Hearing Room, 14th floor, 280 Slater Street, Ottawa, Ontario, Thursday January 20, 2000 beginning at 8:30 a.m. - Update L'ordre du jour de la 295e réunion de la Commission de contrôle de l'énergie atomique qui se tiendra dans la salle des audiences publiques, au 14e étage du 280, rue Slater, Ottawa (Ontario), le jeudi 20 janvier 2000, à compter de 8 h 30. - Mise à jour	2000-01-19	(1-3-1-5)
00-03 Preliminary List of Agenda items for the Board meeting of February 17, 2000 Liste préliminaire des points à l'ordre du jour de la réunion du 17 février 2000 de la Commission	2000-01-04	(1-3-1-5)
00-04 Minutes of Board Meeting held December 16, 1999 Procès-verbal de la réunion de la Commission du 16 décembre 1999	1999-12-20	(1-3-1-5)
00-05 Business arising from previous Atomic Energy Control Board meetings Suivis des réunions précédentes de la Commission de contrôle de l'énergie	2000-01-04	(1-3-1-5)

00-06 2000-01-04 (1-3-1-5)
Significant Development Report No. 2000-1
Rapport des faits saillants n° 2000-1

00-07 2000-01-04 (2400-6-2)
Status of Legal Issues
État des questions juridiques

00-08 2000-01-04 (37-2-2-6)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
Bruce

00-08.1 1999-12-30 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by Township of
Kincardine-Bruce-Tiverton
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
Bruce - Intervention par le comté de Kincardine-Bruce-Tiverton

00-08.2 1999-12-30 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by South Bruce
Impact Advisory Committee
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
Bruce - Intervention par South Bruce Impact Advisory Committee

00-08.3 1999-12-31 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by Citizens for
Renewable Energy
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
Bruce - Intervention par Citizens for Renewable Energy

00-08.3A 2000-01-14 (1-3-1-7)

Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by Citizens for Renewable Energy - Additional Information

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Intervention par Citizens for Renewable Energy - Information supplémentaire

00-08.4 1999-12-31 (1-3-1-7)

Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by Great Lakes United

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Intervention par Great Lakes United

00-08.5 1999-12-31 (1-3-1-7)

Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Appearance by Bruce Business Innovation Group

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Intervention par Bruce Business Innovation Group

00-08.6 1999-12-30 (1-3-1-7)

Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from the Town of Saugeen Shores

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire de la Ville de Saugeen Shores

00-08.7 1999-12-30 (1-3-1-7)

Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from the Town of Hanover

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire de la Ville de Hanover

- 00-08.8 1999-12-30 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Township of Huron-Kinloss
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire du comté Huron-Kinloss
- 00-08.9 1999-12-31 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire de Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association
- 00-08.9A 2000-01-14 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association - Additional Information
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire de Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association - Information supplémentaire
- 00-08.9B 2000-01-18 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association - Request for Appearance
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire de Inverhuron and District Ratepayers Association - Demande d'intervention
- 00-08.10 1999-12-31 (1-3-1-7)
Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Nuclear Awareness Project
Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de Bruce - Mémoire du Nuclear Awareness Project

00-08.11 2000-01-05 (1-3-1-7)
 Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
 Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Ontario Power
 Generation

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
 stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
 Bruce - Mémoire d' Ontario Power Generation

00-08.12 2000-01-07 (1-3-1-7)
 Ontario Power Generation: Bruce Nuclear Power Development, Site 2 Dry
 Fuel Storage Facility Construction Approval - Submission from Mrs. Florence
 Mackesy

Ontario Power Generation : Autorisation de construire l'installation de
 stockage à sec du combustible irradié à l'aire n° 2 du complexe nucléaire de
 Bruce - Mémoire de madame Florence Mackesy

00-09 2000-01-04 (37-15-0-0)
 University of Toronto: Radioactive Waste Management Facility Operating
 Licence

University of Toronto : Permis d'exploitation d'installation de gestion de
 déchets radioactifs

00-10 2000-01-04 (29-1-26-5-0)
 Manitoba Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation: Particle Accelerator
 Nuclear Facility Operating Licence
 Fondation Manitoibaine de traitement du cancer et de recherche en
 cancérologie : Permis d'exploitation d'une installation nucléaire d'accélérateur
 de particules

00-11 2000-01-04 (29-1-28-10-0)
 Cancer Care Ontario (Northeastern Regional Cancer Centre): Particle
 Accelerator Nuclear Facility Construction Approval
 Action Cancer Ontario (Centre régional de cancérologie du nord-est de
 l'Ontario) : Approbation de construire une installation d'accélérateur de
 particules

00-12 2000-01-04 (29-1-48-5-0)
 British Columbia Cancer Agency (Fraser Valley Cancer Centre): Particle
 Accelerator Nuclear Facility Construction Approval
 British Columbia Cancer Agency (Fraser Valley Cancer Centre) : Approbation
 de construire une installation d'accélérateur de particules

00-13 2000-01-04 (13-1-2-3-0)
Update on the Introduction of a Limit of Five Years on AECB Authorizations
for Operations Personnel at Nuclear Power Plants (NPP)
Mise à jour relative à l'introduction d'une limite de cinq ans pour les
accréditations délivrées par la CCEA au personnel exploitant des centrales
nucléaires

00-14 2000-01-11 (1-3-1-3)
Approved Minutes of the Board Meeting held November 4, 1999 -
Submission from Chippewas of Nawash First Nation
Procès-verbal approuvé de la réunion de la Commission du 4 novembre 1999 -
Mémoire de la Première nation Chippewas de Nawash