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BACKGROUND AND CANADA’S PARTICIPATION AT THE 6th REVIEW MEETING
Objectives of the Joint Convention

- Achieve and maintain a high level of safety in spent fuel management and safety in radioactive waste management
- Protect the public and environment from harmful effects of ionizing radiation during all stages of spent fuel and radioactive waste management
- Prevent accidents and mitigate potential radiological consequences should they occur during any stage of spent fuel or radioactive waste management
Importance to Canada and the CNSC

- Allows Canada to perform a **structured self assessment** of the adequacy of its safety measures
- Provides a peer review through an **international forum** for co-operation, experience sharing and international benchmarking for both regulators and industry
- Provides opportunity to learn about advancements in **international decommissioning experience** and the **status of waste repositories (including deep geological repositories) in various countries**
- **Assures the public** that national arrangements for spent fuel and radioactive waste management are in conformance to international agreements
- **Provides publicly available** documents: Canada’s National Reports, Q&A’s and presentations, as well as Review Meeting Summary Reports

Canada’s National Reports are considered by peer reviewers as excellent, *detailed and up-to-date*
Canada’s Participation at the Joint Convention

- The JC uses review meetings as an incentive instrument to encourage open and frank discussions between Contracting Parties (CP)
- Canada ratified the JC in June 2001
- Peer review meetings occur every three years at the IAEA in Vienna, Austria

**CNSC coordinates Canada’s participation in the JC**

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
General obligations described in the Articles of the JC:

1. Submit a National Report - Canada’s 6th National Report
2. Participate in the international peer review
   a) Canada’s Peer review of other CP’s National Reports
   b) Canada’s Response to Questions from other CPs
3. Attend the Review Meeting every three years
   • Present their National Report
   • Respond to follow-up questions from other CPs
   • Actively participate in the review of other CP’s National Reports

Canada has continuously met the obligations of the JC
Canada’s National Report was drafted as per the form and structure outlined in the Articles of the JC.

Organizations that contributed to Canada’s 6th National Report include:

- Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC)
- Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL)
- Bruce Power (BP)
- Cameco
- Hydro-Québec (HQ)
- Nordion
- Natural Resources Canada (NRCan)
- Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO)
- New Brunswick Power (NB Power)
- Ontario Power Generation (OPG)
Participate in the International Peer Review - Canada’s Peer Review of Other CP’s National Reports

Canada peer reviewed 25 National Reports

- CPs within Canada's Country Group and CPs of interest
  - CPs within Canada’s Country Group: Georgia, Ghana, Lithuania, Morocco, the Netherlands, the Republic of Korea and Uruguay
  - Argentina, Australia, China, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Kazakhstan, Portugal, Romania, the Russian Federation, Spain, Switzerland, Sweden, United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom and the United States of America

- Posed 97 written questions to other CPs
Participate in the International Peer Review - Canada’s Response to Questions from Other CPs

Canada’s 6\textsuperscript{th} National Report was well received by CPs

17 CPs posed 86 written questions to Canada

- China, Euratom, Finland, France, Germany, Ghana, Hungary, Japan, Lithuania, Morocco, Republic of Korea, Netherlands, Romania, Russian Federation, Sweden, UK and USA

National Report, Q&As and presentation are posted to the CNSC public website

Canada encourages CPs to commit to peer reviews, openness and transparency
17 CPs submitted 86 written questions on Canada’s National Report; main topics include:

- Long-term management of spent fuel
- Interim storage of spent fuel and design life of structures/containers
- Waste minimization techniques
- Waste Classification and clearance of radioactive material
- CNSC Public Hearing Process
- Community involvement
- Decommissioning end-states
- Import/Export of sealed sources and orphaned disused sealed sources

**Full Q&As are posted on the CNSC website**

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
The CNSC’s Executive Vice-President, Ramzi Jammal led Canada’s multi-organizational delegation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission</td>
<td>Haidy Tadros, Karine Glenn, Julie Mecke, Philip Webster, Jocelyn Truong, Tiffany Lo, Michael Kent, Anna Mazur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources Canada</td>
<td>Dave McCauley, Catherine Badke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Power Generation</td>
<td>Lise Morton, Dave Van Ooteghem, Shaheen Shaikh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Waste Management Organization</td>
<td>Mahrez Ben Belfadhel, Paul Gierszewski, Mihaela Ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Energy Canada Limited</td>
<td>Maude-Émilie Pagé, Paul McClelland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Nuclear Laboratories</td>
<td>Jérôme Besner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro-Québec</td>
<td>Steve Plante</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Canada’s Participation at the 6th Review Meeting
May 21 – June 1, 2018 (2/2)

🍁 The Canadian Delegates:

• delivered Canada’s presentation and answered questions
• posed follow up questions at the peer review sessions of other CPs
• helped facilitate as “Review Officers”
• participated actively in peer review and plenary sessions

Championed openness and transparency

6TH REVIEW MEETING OUTCOMES
Canada’s Country Group Session on May 22, 2018

Country Group Sessions facilitate the peer review of National Reports with other CPs

Format for Country Group Sessions:
- Country Presentation – Canadian Delegation presented deck (Appendix B) – 1.5 hours
- Q&As - Head of the Delegation and Canada’s team answered questions - 1.5 hours
- Present Rapporteur’s Report - 1 hour

Canada was a part of Country Group 8 which included the following CPs:
- Georgia, Ghana, Lithuania, Morocco, the Netherlands, the Republic of Korea and Uruguay
- Other CPs present at Canada’s presentation: USA, Romania, Switzerland

Summary of Canada’s Rapporteur’s Report is posted on the CNSC website
Questions and discussions following Canada’s presentation touched on the following topics:

1. Continuous enhancement of safety requirements as established by the CNSC and legislated financial guarantee requirements on operators

2. The licensing process as it relates to the licensees’ demonstration of the safety case and opportunities for public involvement in the decision making process

3. Mechanisms for public participation in CNSC’s annual reporting on regulatory oversight
Rapporteur’s Report: Summary of Discussions (2/2)

4. CNSC licensing renewal process and compliance verification of existing licensees

5. Roles and responsibilities of the regulatory body which includes technical support organizations, and other technical experts supporting the regulatory body

6. How Canada has established independence of the regulator

7. Ensuring knowledge management for safety of radioactive waste and spent fuel management

Rapporteur’s summary report is an “on the record” account of the questions and discussions
The following “challenges” identified by CPs in the 5th Review Meeting were addressed and closed by consensus of the CPs:

1. Industry access to suitable skills and resources to support a change in focus from operations to decommissioning
2. Human resources to ensure regulatory oversight
3. Implementing Government-owned Contractor-operated (GoCo) management model and completing procurement process

These challenges are closed
Challenges – Follow-up from the 5th Review Meeting (2/2)

The CPs determined the following “challenges” identified in the 5th Review Meeting will remain open:

1. Finding an acceptable site in a willing host community for a spent fuel repository
2. Develop an integrated strategy for non-OPG low- and intermediate-level waste disposal
3. Continued accelerated decommissioning and remediation of AECL sites

These challenges remain open and will be addressed in the 7th Review Meeting

No new challenges were identified in the 6th Review Meeting
Outcomes from the 6th Review Meeting “Good Practices”

- Canada received one “Good Practice” identified at the Review Meeting.
- No more than six “Good Practices” were granted to all CPs.
- Canada’s “Good Practice” was for openness and transparency specifically for public involvement in the CNSC regulatory oversight process through reporting on an annual basis independently from any licensing process.
Outcomes from the 6th Review Meeting “Areas of Good Performance”

Canada received the following “Areas of Good Performance”:

1. Openness and transparency through Public Commission hearings and opportunities of public participations throughout the licensing period
2. CNSC’s Independent Environmental Monitoring Program (IEMP)
3. NWMO Integrating Indigenous knowledge with science in the site selection process for the deep geological repository for spent fuel

“Areas of Good Performance” is a new category adopted from the Convention on Nuclear Safety
Other Outcomes from the 6th Review Meeting

Canada submitted two proposals to the Open Ended Working Group for continuous improvement:

1. Remove requirement for CPs to submit one hard copy of their National Report to the Secretariat
   - Consensus was reached that the submission of National Reports, in electronic form only, to the secure website is an acceptable practice

2. Request the IAEA Secretariat to share the same report on video conferencing options with the CPs to the JC as is already planned for the CPs to the Convention on Nuclear Safety (CNS)
   - Consensus was reached on the request and the report will be made available to CPs of the JC
Bilateral Meetings

The Canadian Delegation led by the CNSC’s Executive Vice-President held bilateral meetings with CPs (Georgia, Japan, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom) on the margins of the JC.

Discussions included:

- International best practices for waste management and decommissioning
- Opportunities for future regulatory cooperation
- Election of officers for the 8th Convention on Nuclear Safety Review Meeting

Nuclear Safety is a global responsibility
Overall Conclusions

The JC is a valuable peer review process
- enables CPs to learn from each other (share good practices, OPEX and discuss emerging issues)
- identifies challenges for each CP to follow up on

Canada was a strong participant at the 6th Review Meeting
- met the obligations of the JC
- contributed significantly to the review process
- continued to demonstrate leadership in nuclear safety, regulatory excellence, openness and transparency

Canada has demonstrated its commitment to the JC objectives
Next Steps

Prepare for the 7th Review Meeting in 2021

• assemble new team in spring 2019 to begin drafting Canada’s report
• engage in work to propose enhancements to the JC
• manage Canada’s effort to address Challenges identified at the 6th Review Meeting

Canada will continue to be a leader in the safety of spent fuel management and radioactive waste management

APPENDIX A: ARTICLES OF THE JOINT CONVENTION
## Articles of the Joint Convention

A total of 44 articles which outline specific obligations for CPs to report on in their National Reports and procedures for the conduct of Review Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Safety Requirements of Spent Fuel Management</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Institutional Measures after Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Existing Spent Fuel Facilities</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Legislative and Regulatory framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Siting of Proposed Facilities</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Regulatory Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Assessment of Safety of Facilities</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Quality Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Disposal of Spent Fuel</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Radiation Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Existing Facilities and Past Practices</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Decommissioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Assessment of Safety of Facilities</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Transboundary Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Operation of Facilities</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Disused Sealed Sources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B: CANADA’S PRESENTATION TO THE 6TH REVIEW MEETING AS DELIVERED ON MAY 22, 2018

Canada’s Presentation to the 6th Review Meeting
Country Group # 8, May 22, 2018 Vienna
Objectives of the Joint Convention

- Achieve and maintain a high level of safety in spent fuel management and safety in radioactive waste management
- Protect the public and environment from harmful effects of ionizing radiation during all stages of spent fuel and radioactive waste management
- Prevent accidents and mitigate potential radiological consequences should they occur during any stage of spent fuel or radioactive waste management
Outline of Canada’s Presentation

**Section 1**
Highlights of Canada’s Report, and International Peer Reviews to Canada

**Section 2**
Canada’s Legislative and Policy Framework

**Section 3**
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission: Regulatory Framework and Initiatives Update

**Section 4**
Management of Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste at CNL sites

**Section 5**

**Section 6**
Long-term Management of Canada’s Spent Fuel

**Section 7**
Responses to 5th Review Meeting, Questions on National Report, Planned Activities, Proposed Good Practices, Challenges, Conclusions, Recommendations and Overarching Issues Long-term Management of Canada’s Spent Fuel

**Appendix A**
Matrix for Canada’s 6th National Report
Canada’s Commitment to Safety

- Comprehensive Legislative/Regulatory Framework
- Independent, open and transparent regulator
- Licensees are responsible for safety
- Nuclear industry in Canada is committed to nuclear safety
- Implement initiatives for the long-term management of radioactive waste

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
Canada’s Guiding Principles for Nuclear Safety

- Commitment to ongoing improvements
- Clarity of requirements
- Capacity for action
- Effective communications
- Healthy safety culture

HIGHLIGHTS OF CANADA’S REPORT AND INTERNATIONAL PEER REVIEWS TO CANADA

Presented by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
The Federal Government will ensure that radioactive waste management is carried out in a safe, environmentally sound, comprehensive, cost-effective and integrated manner.

The Federal Government has the responsibility to develop policy, to regulate and to oversee owners to ensure that they comply with legal requirements and meet their funding and operational responsibilities in accordance with approved disposal plans.

The waste owners are responsible for the funding, organization and operation of the waste management facilities required for their wastes.
Highlights of Canada’s Report
Safety Record

Excellent safety record during reporting period

- Licensees fulfilled their responsibilities for safety and their regulatory obligations
- No radiation exposures exceeded the regulatory limits to workers, the public or the environment
- No events occurred above International Nuclear and Radiological Event Scale (INES) 0
Highlights of Canada’s Report
Safe Management of Radioactive Waste

- Waste Management Programs are required at all CNSC-licensed facilities
- Promote Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
- Plan for the complete life of the facility, including financial guarantees
- Regular open, extensive and transparent stakeholder engagement and opportunities for public participation throughout the life cycle
Continuous safety enhancements

- Licence renewal and life extension processes drive improvements based on evaluations against:
  - Modern codes and standards
  - Operating experience (OPEX)
  - Research findings
  - Improved analytical methods
- Annual reporting to the Commission on licensee regulatory performance
- Implementation of long-term management solutions for legacy and historic waste
Waste Management Facilities/Areas

Uranium Mines and Tailings Sites

Active
1 - Key Lake
2 - Rabbit Lake
3 - McArthur
4 - McClean Lake
5 - Cigar Lake

Inactive / Decommissioned
1 - Key Lake
2 - Rabbit Lake
6 - Beaver Lodge
7 - Gunnar
8 - Lorado
9 - Port Radium
10 - Rayrock
11 to 18 - Elliot Lake Area Sites: Quirke, Panel, Denison, Lancor, Nordic, Pronto, Spanish-American, Stanrock, Stanleigh
19 - Agnew Lake
20 to 22 - Bancroft Area Sites: Madawaska, Bicroft, Dyno
23 - Cluff Lake
24 - Deloro

Radioactive Waste Sites

Low Level
Intermediate Level
High Level

Active Sites
25 - Blind River
26 - Bruce NGS
27 - Pickering
28 - Darlington
29 - Point Lepreau
30 - Chalk River Laboratories

Inactive / Decommissioned
31 - Douglas Point
32 - Rolphiton NPD
33 - Gentilly 1, Gentilly 2 NGS
34 - Whiteshell Laboratories
35 - Port Hope, Port Granby, Welcome
Highlights of Canada’s Report:
Closure of the IRRS Follow up Mission

Progress report to the IRRS follow up mission to Canada
- Recommendations and suggestions addressed and closed
- 2009 mission and 2011 follow up mission
- 2013 – CNSC confirmed the closure of all actions

Completion of the Fukushima action items established in the CNSC Integrated Action Plan following the Fukushima Daiichi accident
- The CNSC action plan also included enhancements to the CNSC’s nuclear regulatory framework
- Updates to regulatory documents have been completed. Work is ongoing to amend the Class I Nuclear Facilities Regulations and the Radiation Protection Regulations

IRRS reports and management response published on the CNSC public website

All IRRS findings are closed
Canada supports and participates in a variety of international peer reviews

- The CNSC participated in five safety-related, three security-related and eight technical IAEA review missions in 2017
- Canadian utilities actively encourage staff participation in WANO and other international peer reviews

Upcoming International Peer Reviews:

- CNSC is requesting an IAEA ARTEMIS to focus on waste regulatory framework and structure (tentatively planned for the fall of 2018)
- Canada is scheduled to host an International peer review mission for emergency preparedness (EPREV) (planned for early 2019)

Peer Review missions encourage international accountability and transparency to help strengthen global nuclear safety
Highlights of Canada’s Report

Major themes - Questions on Canada’s National Report

- Long-term management of Spent Fuel
- Interim storage of spent fuel and design life of structures/containers
- Waste minimization techniques
- Waste classification and clearance of radioactive material
- CNSC public hearing process
- Community involvement
- Import/export of sealed sources and orphaned disused sealed sources

CANADA’S LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

Presented by Natural Resources Canada

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
Legislative Framework in Canada

- Nuclear comes under federal jurisdiction
- The CNSC is Canada’s single nuclear regulator
  - Independent – reports to Parliament through the Minister of Natural Resources
- Government of Canada’s legislative framework
Recent Government of Canada Decisions and Initiatives: Restructuring of AECL Completed

- Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL) restructured to reduce costs and risks to Canadian taxpayers while positioning nuclear industry to succeed
- Phase 1 completed in 2011 with sale of the assets of AECL’s CANDU Reactor Division
- Phase 2 put in place government-owned, GoCo model at Canada’s nuclear laboratories to bring private-sector rigour and efficiency
- Canadian Nuclear Laboratories Ltd. (CNL) is a private-sector company responsible for the day-to-day management/operation of AECL sites
- AECL small, purpose-built Crown corporation responsible for oversight of the GoCo contract to ensure GoCo liabilities are controlled, reduced and eliminated

Private-sector rigour and efficiency will leverage capabilities of the nuclear laboratories
Recent Government of Canada Decisions and Initiatives: Nuclear Liability and Compensation Act

- NLCA entered into force on January 1, 2017 to:
  - Establish stronger legislation to better deal with liability and compensation for a nuclear accident; and
  - Implement Canada’s obligations as a Party to the IAEA Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage

- Key elements of the new legislation:
  - Absolute and exclusive liability of the operator
  - Increased limit of liability to $1 billion
  - Expanded and clearer definition of damages
  - Elaboration of administrative process for claims handling

- Canada ratified the IAEA Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage
  - Clarifies liability and jurisdiction in the event of a nuclear incident
  - Supports the IAEA Action Plan on Nuclear Safety

Liability cap increased to $1 billion
Recent Government of Canada Decisions and Initiatives:

**Bill C-69 – The Impact Assessment Act**

- Bringing forward better rules for assessing the impacts of major projects to protect our environment and enhance public trust in how decisions about resource development projects are made

- Proposed changes:
  - Establishment of a new Agency and a new review process
  - Broader scope of impacts assessed
  - Increased focus on Indigenous engagement

- Proposed *Impact Assessment Act* undergoing review by Parliament

Proposed new impact assessment system should strengthen and enhance public trust in resource decision-making
Recent Government of Canada Decisions and Initiatives:

**Generation Energy, SMR Roadmapping, CEM**

- Generation Energy – find out how Canadians want to meet Canada’s climate goals, create jobs and keep energy affordable
  - Nuclear energy part of the ongoing Generation Energy discussion
  - Pan-Canadian approach to SMRs to guide important decisions, and reduce uncertainty

- Government commits to a dialogue to develop Canadian Roadmap on SMRs
  - February 22, 2018 – Roadmapping process announced under the Energy Innovation Program

- Clean Energy Ministerial (CEM) – a global forum to promote policies and share best practices to accelerate the global transition to clean energy
  - Canada, Japan, the United States partnering to launch NICE Future to ensure that nuclear energy receives appropriate representation in high-level discussions about clean energy

**Nuclear Energy will continue to play a role in Canada’s low-carbon future – Long-term solutions for waste will be required**

CANADIAN NUCLEAR SAFETY COMMISSION
REGULATORY FRAMEWORK AND INITIATIVES UPDATE
Presented by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
Established in May 2000, under the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*

- Regulate the use of nuclear energy and materials
- Implement Canada’s international commitments
- Disseminate information to the public

Reports to Parliament through Minister of Natural Resources

**Over 70 Years Of Nuclear Safety**
The Commission

- Quasi-judicial administrative tribunal
- Agent of the Crown (duty to consult)
- Commission members are independent and part-time
- Commission hearings are public and Webcast
- Any member of the public may intervene
- Public Participant Funding
- Decisions are reviewable by Federal Court

Public Hearings throughout Lifecycle of facility

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
The CNSC regulates all nuclear-related facilities and activities

- Uranium mines and mills
- Uranium fuel fabrication and processing
- Nuclear power plants
- Nuclear substance processing
- Industrial and medical applications
- Nuclear research and educational activities
- Transportation of nuclear substances
- Export/import control
- Security and safeguards
- Waste management facilities

From Cradle to Grave
The CNSC Regulatory Framework and Philosophy

- The *Nuclear Safety and Control Act* is the enabling legislation
- The Commission makes regulations through a transparent process
- Regulatory requirements are continuously updated based on a systematic and transparent process
  - Reflected in a comprehensive 10-year plan
  - Aligned with IAEA safety standards
  - Adoption of national and international standards in regulatory framework
- Extensive consultation is held with all stakeholders
  - Starts with discussion papers that are open and transparent
  - Comments solicited for draft regulatory documents
  - Resolution of comments published for stakeholder review
  - Public input sought prior to final issuance
- Regulatory philosophy is risk-informed
Activities requiring Licensing for Nuclear Facilities

Commission authorization is required before any licensed activities are carried out

- Site preparation
- Construction
- Operation
- Decommissioning
- Release from licensing
Regulatory Oversight Compliance and Enforcement

- Regulatory oversight includes licensing, compliance and enforcement activities, as well as reporting to the Commission.

- Compliance is verified through:
  - Inspections
  - Reviews of operational activities and documentation
  - Licensee reporting of performance data, including annual reports and unusual occurrences
  - Independent environmental monitoring by the CNSC

Risk-informed and performance-based approach
Regulatory Oversight

- **Nuclear Safety and Control Act (NSCA) and Regulations**
- Commission Licensing requirements:
  - Licence Conditions
  - Regulatory Documents (REGDOCs) and standards
- CNSC staff compliance activities:
  - Verification, assessments, inspections, and enforcement

Clear and robust regulatory framework
Regulatory Focus Areas

All 14 Safety and Control Areas are assessed:

- Management System
- Human Performance Management
- Operating Performance
- Safety Analysis
- Physical Design
- Fitness for Service
- Radiation Protection
- Conventional Health and Safety
- Environmental Protection
- Emergency Management and Fire Protection
- Waste Management
- Security
- Safeguards and non-proliferation
- Packaging and Transport
The licensees waste program should encompass:

• Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

• Plan for the complete life of the facility, including financial guarantees

• Defence-in-depth – never rely on a single system or process for protection
Waste Related Regulatory Documents

Published since last RM:

• Discussion paper, Radioactive Waste Management and Decommissioning (May 2016) and follow-up What We Heard Report (November 2017)
• Waste and Decommissioning Regulatory Framework, Volume II: Assessing the Long-Term Safety of Radioactive Waste Management (April 2018)

Under development:

• Guidance on Deep Geological Repository Site Characterization
• Decommissioning Planning (revision)
• Financial Guarantees (revision)
There are four classes of radioactive waste in Canada

Classes of radioactive waste are organized according to the containment and isolation required to ensure safety in the short and long-term and take into consideration the risk to the health and safety of humans and the environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium mine and mill waste</td>
<td>Includes tailings and waste rock generated by the mining and milling of uranium ore.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-level radioactive waste</td>
<td>Is more radioactive than clearance levels and exemption quantities. Examples include: mop heads, rags and paper towels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate-level radioactive waste</td>
<td>Contains enough long-lived radionuclides to require isolation and containment. Examples include: filters, resins and used reactor components.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-level radioactive waste</td>
<td>Is primarily used nuclear fuel, along with small amounts of waste that generate significant heat.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Canadian Standards Association (now called the CSA Group) is a not-for-profit organization composed of representatives from the government, industry and consumer groups.

Radioactive waste related CSA Standards published since last RM:
- CSA N292.0-14 - General principles for the management of radioactive waste and irradiated fuel
- CSA N292.1-16 - Wet storage of irradiated fuel and other radioactive materials
- CSA N292.2-13 - Interim dry storage of irradiated fuel
- CSA N292.3-14 - Management of low- and intermediate-level radioactive waste
- CSA N292.5 - Guideline for Exemption from Regulatory Control of Materials that Contain Nuclear Substances
- CSA N294-09 - Decommissioning of facilities containing nuclear substances

Under development:
- CSA N292.6-18 - Long-term management of radioactive waste and irradiated fuel
- CSA N292.7 - Disposal of radioactive waste and irradiated Fuel (proposed title)
Open and Transparent Regulator

- Community outreach activities
- Participant Funding Program
- Public invited to participate in Commission proceedings throughout life-cycle
- Held in local communities when possible
- Regulatory, environmental, and nuclear safety information published on website
- Hearings occur throughout lifecycle of a nuclear facility
- Information–sharing through social media
- Dedicated telephone line and email address to respond to questions from the public

Examples of outreach activities
Licensee Public Communication Program

- Regulatory requirements for extensive proactive disclosure and public information programs
  - Public disclosure is a condition of licence
- Licensees develop information programs in consultation with the public
- Licensees conduct outreach activities:
  - Engagement with Indigenous Communities
  - Consultation with municipal governments and local stakeholders
- Licensees have environmental data and facility safety information available to the public
  - Corporate websites, social media
  - Newsletters
- Licensees communicate to the public when non-routine events occur at the facility
CNSC Independent Environmental Monitoring Program (IEMP)

❖ Objectives
  • Verify that public health and the environment is not adversely affected by releases to the environment around CNSC-regulated facilities
  • Confirm that the licensee’s environmental protection program adequately protects the public and the environment
  • Complement the CNSC compliance program

❖ Site-specific sampling plans
  • Developed for publicly accessible locations (air, water, soil, sediment, vegetation and food)
  • Engages local community and integrates Indigenous input in sampling program

❖ Results available to public through technical reports and interactive maps on the CNSC website

❖ Complements monitoring programs of other government agencies, such as Health Canada’s Canadian Radiation Monitoring Network, as well as provincial and licensee monitoring programs
CNSC Continued Early Regulatory Involvement in Long Term Management of Spent Fuel

- **CNSC outreach activities** – continued to engage in outreach activities focusing on heightening public awareness and understanding of its role and of regulating radioactive waste

- **Independent Advisory Group** – established in February 2015 to provide advice to CNSC staff; composed of geoscientists from several Canadian universities and the Geological Survey of Canada

- **Independent research program** – Since 1978, the CNSC has been involved in independent research and assessment on geological repositories

- **International collaboration** – the CNSC belongs to six international groups that exchange information and knowledge on geological repositories

CNSC role will expand and adapt as initiative progresses

MANAGEMENT OF SPENT FUEL AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE AT ATOMIC ENERGY OF CANADA LIMITED-OWNED SITES
Presented by Atomic Energy of Canada Limited
Atomic Energy of Canada limited (AECL):
Nuclear Science and Technology and Decommissioning

Mandate:
- Enable nuclear science and technology
- Manage the Government of Canada’s radioactive waste responsibilities

Decommissioning Responsibilities:
- Decommissioning of legacy nuclear science and technology sites
- Cleaning up sites contaminated with historic, low-level radioactive waste

Priority Areas:
- Accelerate decommissioning and remediation of legacy sites
- Revitalize the Chalk River Laboratories - $1.2B CAD investment over 10 years in new and renewed science infrastructure

AECL delivers its mandate through a Government-owned, Contractor-operated model whereby Canadian Nuclear Laboratories, a private-sector company, manages and operates our sites on our behalf.
Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste
Owned by AECL and Managed by CNL

Legacy Nuclear Science and Technology Sites
Historical Waste Sites (responsibility accepted by the Government of Canada)
The Chalk River Laboratories remain an active laboratory site.

Challenges include legacy buildings and waste management areas which require decontamination and remediation.

Spent fuel, ILW and LLW stored at the site.
Chalk River Laboratories:
Decommissioning of Shutdown Buildings

LEGEND:
- Building to be decommissioned and demolished
- Building to be decommissioned and maintained in surveillance
- New/Refurbished buildings
- Existing buildings that will remain
Chalk River Laboratories:
Near Surface Disposal Facility

- Proposed project for the disposal of up to 1,000,000 m³ LLW
- To be located at the Chalk River site, the location of the majority of the waste (>90%)
- Double lined landfill construction with leachate collection and treatment
- Strategically the most important enabler project for the remediation and revitalization of the Chalk River Laboratories

Project is currently undergoing an Environmental Assessment
Goals

- Retrieve spent research reactors fuel from early degraded tile holes
- Transport the fuel to a new facility for repacking, vacuum drying, and storage

Project status

- Facility operation commenced 2015
- Fuel from 71 tile holes transferred by end 2017
- Transfers and drying to be completed by summer 2019
Goals

- Retrieve highly enriched uranium (HEU) fuel rods and target residue materials
- Repackage for transportation
- Repatriate to the United States to reprocess for peaceful purposes

Project status

- Fuel Rod Repatriation commenced 2015
- Target Residue Material Repatriation commenced 2017
Decommissioning Progress: Prototype Reactors

- Monitor, maintain, and repair Prototype Reactors as required
- Three Phase approach to decommissioning:
  - Phase 1 – Facilities brought to a safe state
  - Phase 2 – Storage with surveillance
  - Phase 3 – Final decommissioning

- Douglas Point and Gentilly-1 in Phase 2
- Nuclear Power Demonstration preparing for Phase 3
Nuclear Power Demonstration
Proposed in-situ decommissioning

NPD below grade structure containing reactor vessel, system and components will be encased with grout.

The above ground structures will be demolished and the debris placed in the below grade structure previously occupied by the electrical generating equipment and filled with grout.

The grouted structure will be capped with concrete and an engineered barrier in situ.

Canadian Nuclear Laboratories will monitor the site for a minimum 100 years a period of time referred to as institutional control.
Whiteshell Laboratories

- Nuclear laboratory site that is being decommissioned to achieve closure - managed under a target-cost agreement
- Radioactive waste and used fuel to be shipped to the Chalk River site for interim storage or final disposal (over 2,000 trucks travelling 2,000 km)
- WR-1 is proposed by CNL to be decommissioned in situ (currently in the regulatory decision phase)
- Performance Baseline schedule on track for September 2024 end date
Whiteshell Laboratories
Proposed in-situ decommissioning

Because it is primarily located low grade the majority of contamination is in the reactor core the entire below grade the entire below grade portion will be filled with grout.

The above grade structured will be demolished and all material will be sent to appropriate disposal sites.

An engineered cover will then be installed over the grouted monolith to prevent intrusion.

Canadian Nuclear Laboratories will monitor the site.
Historic Radioactive Waste: Port Hope Area Initiative

- Loss of control of contaminated material from former Crown corporation Eldorado Nuclear and its private-sector predecessors
- Environmental restoration within the community involving 1.7M m³ LLW and a cost $1.28B CAD
- Port Granby near-surface facility constructed and transfers commenced 2016
- Port Hope near-surface facility constructed and transfers commenced 2017
- Remediation well underway with completion of both projects by 2024
- Significant effort placed on public and stakeholder engagement
Communications and stakeholder engagement a key part of all site operations

- Targeted engagement activities as part of environmental assessment processes
  - More than 75 public information sessions to date
  - Presentations to local municipal councils
  - Site tours
  - Meetings with Indigenous groups; funding for community engagement and traditional knowledge studies

LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT OF LOW- AND INTERMEDIATE-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE FROM NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS
Presented by Ontario Power Generation
Low- and Intermediate-Level Waste Interim Storage

- All low- and intermediate-level waste (L&ILW) produced by Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) in Canada stored on an interim basis at the nuclear facilities
- Low-level waste may be reduced through various processing methods

**Low-level waste**
- Low activity radioactive waste stored in warehouse-type buildings

**Intermediate-level waste**
- Higher-activity, longer-lived radioactive waste stored in in-ground containers
- Refurbishment radioactive waste stored in above ground containers
Minimization Efforts for Low-Level Waste

- Waste Reduction at Source
  - Focus on pre-job briefings
  - Segregation of metal waste
  - Segregation of launderable Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
  - Communication campaigns

- Waste diversion
  - Use of launderable/washable PPE
  - De-packaging of materials

Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
Sorting of waste at the Western Waste Management Facility (WWMF) both from interim storage and incoming waste from the NPPs has:

- Continued for past 3+ years
- Diverted waste from the non-processible waste stream to the compaction and incineration processes
- Diverted waste from the compaction process (5:1 reduction) to the incineration process (25:1 reduction)
- Resulted in the removal and free-release of metal and tools through extensive surveying, segmentation and decontamination methods

Workers sorting through radioactive waste to divert to volume reduction streams or for free release
OPG Dry Storage Containers for Used Fuel

- Dry storage containers (DSCs) hold 384 bundles in four modules
- Steel and high density concrete construction with a welded lid design
- Design life is 50 years
OPG Dry Storage Containers for Used Fuel

・ All filled containers are stored at site
・ Currently there are over 2900 DSCs stored at the three Used Fuel facilities

OPG Used Fuel DSC’s stored at the Pickering Waste Management Facility

OPG Used Fuel DSC’s stored at the Western Waste Management Facility
OPG and Bruce Power Refurbishment Projects

- Refurbishment at both OPG and Bruce Power for the next 15 years for 10 units
  - Approximately 50% increase in waste volumes for each unit
  - Non-routine waste streams such as reactor components and feeder tubes
  - Requires new containers and facilities as well as transportation packages
  - Significant effort to coordinate and manage logistics
Phase One: Identification

- Identification of large scope work is complete. Includes aging management, buildings and transportation for next 10 years
- Second part of identification will include: repair, replacement and significant inspection requirements from now to End of Life
Asset Management Strategy for Existing Waste Management Facilities

Phase Two: Actualization

- Incorporation into business plan, including funding stream, work processes and resources required
- Inclusion into Nuclear Fleet-wide software platform to enable continual program management

The DSTAR Transportation Package Containing Feeder Tubes

Type B Transportation Package
Large Metal Object Volume Reduction

- Large Metal Objects (LMO) include:
  - Steam generators
  - Heat exchangers
  - Transportation packages
- LMOs are large in volume but relatively low in radioactivity
- The aim of this initiative is to minimize the volume of the radioactive material

Bruce Power Units 1 & 2 Waste Steam Generators

Waste Heat Exchanger
Update - OPG’s Deep Geologic Repository (DGR)

- A DGR, 680m deep, is proposed for disposal of L&ILW, from three nuclear generating stations owned by OPG

- Geology at Bruce Nuclear site will safely isolate waste from surface:
  - Region is seismically quiet
  - Limestone sedimentary rock is 450 million years old
  - Rock is mechanically strong and dry
  - Rock is virtually impermeable; a molecule of water moves just 1 m in 300,000 years

Conceptual emplacement for intermediate-level waste
Project has undergone more than 12 years of scientific analysis and review

Rigorous Environmental Assessment

- Longest such public hearings in Canadian history – over two years
- 12,500 pages of evidence
- 300 interventions

Joint Review Panel concluded in 2015:

- Safety case is strong
- Environment and Lake Huron are protected
- Project should proceed “now rather than later”
Willing host community: Kincardine

Federal Minister of Environment has requested additional information:

- In 2016 requested an alternate locations study
- In 2017 requested an updated analysis of effects on physical/cultural heritage of Saugeen Ojibway Nation (SON)
Gentilly-2

Characteristics

- 1983: start of commercial operation
  - 675MW, single unit CANDU PHWR
- December 28, 2012: end of commercial operation
- December 31, 2014: Safe Storage State declared

Gentilly-2 is located on the St. Lawrence River and has one unit transitioning to safe storage state (photo courtesy Hydro-Québec)
Gentilly-2 Transitioning

Dormancy and surveillance phase

- Transfer of spent fuel from wet storage to interim dry storage
- Structures, systems and components monitoring program
- Environmental monitoring program
- Transfer of LLW and ILW to the solid radioactive waste management facility
- Reconfiguration of systems and building for dormancy

Fuelling machine connected to the reactor, at Gentilly-2, used to defuel the core and drain heavy water from the pressure tubes (photo courtesy Hydro-Québec)
Gentilly-2: Next steps

2021 – 2059 Dormancy and site monitoring phase

- Structures, systems and components monitoring program
- Environmental monitoring program
- All radioactive L&ILW stored on site
- 2050* transfer of irradiated fuel to national repository site
  * date assumed for financial planning

2060 – 2066 Decontamination and Dismantling

- Preparation: planning, regulatory approvals, initial comprehensive and detailed radiological and hazardous characterization
- Operation: all radioactivity in excess of release criteria remove from site and disposed
- Site Restoration: remove remaining building using conventional techniques, final dismantling program report

Onsite above ground dry storage at the Gentilly-2 site (photo courtesy Hydro-Québec)

LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT OF CANADA’S SPENT FUEL
Presented by the Nuclear Waste Management Organization
Canada’s Spent Fuel Inventory: 2,770,918 bundles as of June 30, 2017
Adaptive Phased Management

- Three-year options study by NWMO with extensive dialogue with Canadians (2002-2005)
- Government of Canada selected Adaptive Phased Management (APM) approach in 2007
- NWMO continues to implement APM:
  - Centralized isolation and containment in a Deep Geological Repository
  - Flexibility in pace and manner of implementation
  - Open, inclusive, fair siting process to seek a willing and informed host
  - Sustained engagement of people and communities
National Infrastructure Project

- Protection of health, safety and environment
- High technology
- Strongly regulated
- Long-term partnership between NWMO and host communities
- Investment $23 B
- Decades of sustainable operation
NWMO’s Conceptual DGR for Spent Fuel

**LEGEND**
1. Surface Facilities
2. Main Shaft Complex
3. Placement Rooms
4. Ventilation Exhaust Shaft
Guiding Principles:

- Safety first
- Informed and willing host community
- Multi-stage technical and socio-economic and cultural assessments
- Broad involvement of people in siting areas and regions, including Municipalities, First Nations and Métis people

Site Selection Process

- Initial Screening COMPLETE
- Preliminary Assessment (Desktop) COMPLETE
- Preliminary Assessment (Field Work) ONGOING since 2014 (minimum 3 to 4 years per site)
- Detailed Site Characterization (~5 years)
Site Selection Process

Communities That Expressed Interest In The Site Selection Process

- **Assessments Under Way**
  5. Ignace
  9. Manitouwadge
  10. Hornepayne
  19. Huron-Kinloss
  21. South Bruce

- **Communities Not Identified For Further Study**
  1. English River First Nation
  2. Pinehouse
  3. Creighton
  4. Ear Falls
  5. Red Rock
  7. Nipigon
  8. Schreiber
  11. White River
  12. Wawa
  13. Blind River
  14. Elliot Lake
  15. The North Shore
  16. Spanish
  17. Saugeen Shores
  18. Arren-Elderslie
  19. Huron-Kinloss
  20. Brockton
  22. Central Huron
Looking Ahead

2018
Preliminary Assessments underway

2023
Preferred site is selected

2024
Detailed site characterization begins; Construction of Centre of Expertise begins

2028
Licence applications submitted

2032
Licence granted (estimate); Design and construction begins

2043
Operation of repository begins
Criteria for Selecting a Preferred Site

SAFETY
Confidence a deep geological repository can be developed with strong safety case at that location

TRANSPORTATION
Confidence a safe, secure and socially acceptable transportation plan can be developed

PARTNERSHIP
Confidence a strong partnership can be developed – with interested community, First Nation and Métis and surrounding communities
Advancing the Site Selection Process

- Ongoing engagement with municipal and Indigenous communities to build and sustain support for the project
- Gradually reducing the number of communities in an open and transparent manner
- Identifying technically suitable and socially acceptable repository sites with the involvement of people, taking into account traditional knowledge
- Actively exploring the potential for partnerships with communities
Advancing Field Investigations

Initial Studies

- High Resolution Airborne Geophysical Surveys
  - Completed

Geological Mapping
  - Completed

Intensive Field Work

- Deep Borehole Drilling
  - Ongoing

In Collaboration with Communities

Community members visiting survey plane (courtesy NWMO)

Geologists conducting mapping (courtesy NWMO)

Geologist analyzing drill core (courtesy NWMO)

nuclearsafety.gc.ca
Through its Indigenous Knowledge policy, the NWMO is committed to work together with Aboriginal peoples to respectfully interweave Indigenous Knowledge in all its activities:

- Joint planning of field studies
- Work together to collect information and interpret findings
- Ceremonies and offerings led by communities prior to field work
- Cultural training for contractors prior to field work
- Seek advice from a Council of Elders and Youth
Engineered Barrier System Development

- Engineered Barrier System developed for CANDU fuel; with improvements in safety, fabrication and handling

- Focused on readiness for container serial production and emplacement trials:
  - Integrating research and development
  - Fabricating prototypes and process equipment
  - Establishing process procedures and defining tolerances

Cold spray copper coating being applied to container lid weld region (courtesy NWMO)

NWMO’s Engineered Barrier System (courtesy NWMO)
Highlights

- Sound legislative framework
- Funding for the project in place; Government oversight
- Progressing towards the selection of a safe and socially acceptable site in 2023, in an open and transparent manner
- Continued and substantive progress in engineering design
- Continued use of Peer-reviews and guidance from external advisory bodies

RESPONSES TO 5TH RM, QUESTIONS ON NATIONAL REPORT, PLANNED ACTIVITIES, GOOD PRACTICES, CHALLENGES, SUGGESTIONS, CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND OVERARCHING ISSUES
Response to 5th Review Meeting Challenges

Challenge 1: Industry access to suitable skills and resources
- OPG, Bruce Power and New Brunswick Power use a number of strategies to focus on ensuring access to suitable skills and resources
- Hydro-Québec put in place a permanent organization to manage decommissioning of Gentilly-2 NGS

This challenge should be closed

Challenge 2: Resources to ensure regulatory oversight
- The CNSC has adopted a “build” strategy to protect core organizational capabilities and competencies that are essential to carrying out its mandate over the long term
- Human resources management effort is focused on four areas: organization design, recruitment and workforce renewal, learning and leadership development, and employee engagement and retention

This challenge should be closed
Response to 5th Review Meeting Challenges (cont.)

Challenge 3:
Finding an acceptable site in a willing host community for spent fuel repository

- Site selection process has progressed with potential planned completion by 2023
  - Initially 22 communities, 5 communities remain after initial assessments as of April 2018
- A number of First Nations and Métis communities actively engaged in learning more about the project

This challenge should remain open

Challenge 4:
Implementing GoCo management model and completing procurement process

- Completed Restructuring AECL through implementation of the GoCo model
- CNL is a private-sector company responsible for day-to-day management and operation of all AECL’s sites, facilities and assets

This challenge should be closed
Progress on Suggestion from 5th Review Meeting

Suggestion 1:
Develop an integrated strategy for non-OPG low and intermediate-level waste disposal

- CNL, on behalf of AECL, has constructed a near-surface disposal facility for PHAI project and has proposed a near-surface disposal facility for LLW at CRL

- Canada’s radioactive waste owners (AECL, OPG, Hydro-Québec, NB Power) have formed the Radioactive Waste Leadership Forum and is discussing options for an integrated strategy

This item should remain open
Planned Measures 1:
AECL sites – accelerated decommissioning and remediation

- Chalk River Laboratories 30 redundant structures removed in 2016/17, 20 redundant structures removed during 2017/18 - completed

- National Research Universal reactor came to the end of its operational mission and shut down on 2018 March 31. The transition from operations to decommissioning has commenced - completed

- Whiteshell Laboratories 14 redundant structures removed in 2017/18. A further 72 redundant structures at Chalk River Laboratories to be removed by 2026 - open

- Whiteshell Laboratories closure to be completed by 2024 - open

- Nuclear Power Demonstration decommissioning to be completed by 2022 – open

- Port Hope Area Initiative to be completed by 2024 - open

This item should be amended to reflect the closure and the remainder of open items
Progress on Planned Measures to Improve Safety from the 5th Review Meeting (cont.)

Planned Measures 2:
**Development of radioactive waste management industry forum**
- A RWM industry forum has been developed by Canada’s radioactive waste owners (AECL, OPG, Hydro-Québec, NB Power) under the sponsorship of Canadian Nuclear Association’s Nuclear Leadership Forum to discuss long-term management matters.
- Collaboration to ensure cost-effective, publically acceptable and accessible long-term radioactive waste management facilities will be available to support sustainable Canadian nuclear industry.

This item should be closed

Planned Measure 3:
**Consolidated waste and decommissioning regulations**
- CNSC Discussion paper on proposed approach published in May 16, 2016.
- CNSC proceeding with modernizing of regulatory framework.
- CNSC will revisit the need for regulations on waste management and decommissioning in the future once updated regulatory documents are in place and experience gained following implementation.

This item should be closed
Progress on Planned Measures to Improve Safety from the 5th Review Meeting (cont.)

Planned measures 4:
Federal environment minister decision for OPG’s DGR project for its L&ILW

- May, 2015, the Joint Review Panel (JRP) panel submitted an environmental assessment report to the federal Minister of Environment and Climate Change for a decision on its recommendations. The panel concluded “that the project is not likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects, taking into account the implementation of the mitigation measures committed to by OPG, together with the mitigation measures recommended by the panel”

- In 2017, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change requested additional information from OPG. OPG is currently working on this new request for information

This item is open and ongoing

Planned measures 5:
Continue progress in engineered design and site selection process for the long-term management of spent fuel (Adaptive Phased Management)

- In 2014, NWMO’s engineering and design program completed a new engineered-barrier system design. NWMO has further optimized that design, in part to take advantage of current manufacturing capabilities

- NWMO completed the first phase of preliminary assessments, initiated geoscientific and environmental fieldwork, and broadened engagement with First Nations, Métis and other communities

- NWMO plans to select a site by 2023

This item should remain open
Planned Activities for Canada during the next JC Reporting Period

- Complete licensing process for CNL accelerated decommissioning and remediation projects (NDP, Whiteshell and NSDF)

- Canada’s radioactive waste owners (AECL, OPG, Hydro-Québec, NB Power) have formed the Radioactive Waste Leadership Forum and are discussing options for an integrated strategy

- Modernize the Waste and Decommissioning Regulatory Framework

Proposed Good Practices for Canada in Context of 6th Review Meeting

Openness and transparency

- Public Commission hearings
  - Throughout life-cycle, not just at initial licensing stage
  - Webcast of all Commission hearings
- Regular opportunities for public participation throughout the licensing period via the presentation of Regulatory Oversight Reports (annually or bi-annually)
- Participant funding to help the public and Indigenous communities to submit value-added info to the Commission
- CNSC engagement program
- Licensees’ public information programs and proactive public disclosure
  - Advance project implementation openly and collaboratively with communities involved
  - Publish findings from technical and social studies, decisions and rationale, to inform dialogue and ensure transparency
  - NWMO Indigenous Knowledge Policy ensures Indigenous Knowledge is valued and respected in planning and decision-making processes
CNSC’s Independent Environmental Monitoring Program (IEMP)

- Independently confirms that the environment surrounding the CNSC regulated nuclear facilities are safe
- Establishes or updates baseline results of environmental data in public areas
- Program examines both radiological and non-radiological substances in a variety of environmental media
- Integrates Indigenous input in sampling plan (locations and food to be sampled)
  - Provides meaningful results by consulting with Indigenous communities, walking their lands and seeing what is of importance to them
  - Program is flexible and can react to requests from communities
- Reports and interactive map of results available on CNSC’s public website
Proposed Good Practices for Canada in Context of 6th Review Meeting (cont.)

Interweaving of Indigenous knowledge with western science

- Siting of Deep Geological Repository implemented with the involvement of Indigenous people
- NWMO’s Indigenous Knowledge Policy commits that Indigenous Knowledge will inform all of NWMO’s work activities
- Importance of ceremony in planning and executing field investigations
- Involvement of local Indigenous Guides and knowledge holders
- Cultural Awareness Training regularly provided for NWMO staff, contractors and siting community members
- Strong Indigenous representation within NWMO
Proposed Challenges for Canada in Context of 6th Review Meeting

- Open from last Review Meeting: Finding an acceptable site in a willing host community for spent fuel repository
- Develop an integrated strategy for non-OPG low and intermediate-level waste disposal
- Continued accelerated decommissioning and remediation of CNL sites
Conclusions

Canada has demonstrated

- Its commitment to the Joint Convention objectives
- Its compliance with the Articles of the Joint Convention
- Its openness and transparency
- Its commitment to the safety of human health and the environment
- Its commitment to the improvement of the safety of spent fuel and radioactive waste management

All categories of radioactive waste are currently managed in facilities that are safe, secure and environmentally sound
Recommendations to the President of the Joint Convention

The President of the JC should continue to:

- Remind CPs of their obligations, especially to actively participate
- To name CPs who are not meeting the obligations of the JC in his report
- Write a letter to the Head of State of the countries who are not meeting the obligations of the JC
- Table issues and recommendations from the 6th RM to be discussed at the next Organizational Meeting for the 7th RM
- Monitor issues related to CPs not meeting their obligations, develop recommendations for remedial actions and inform the IAEA Director General of such recommendations as appropriate

Canada encourages Contracting Parties to commit to peer reviews, openness and transparency
Overarching Issues for the Joint Convention and Contracting Parties

- The Joint Convention must be the global champion of waste safety
- Social acceptability of long-term waste management solutions
- Internationally benchmarking the classification of intermediate-level waste
- The growing number of nuclear facilities undergoing transition to decommissioning
  - Management of waste including large metal components
  - Decommissioning plans: Accelerated vs Deferred
  - Knowledge management and retention
- Global implementation of the three “Rs”: Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
  - Reduction of environmental footprint through recycling

Nuclear safety is a global responsibility

APPENDIX A: CANADA’S MATRIX FOR THE 6TH NATIONAL REPORT
# Matrix for Canada’s 6th National Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Liability</th>
<th>Long-term Management (LTM) Policy</th>
<th>Funding of Liabilities</th>
<th>Current Practice / Facilities</th>
<th>Planned Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spent Fuel (SF)</td>
<td>National approach for the LTM of SF Nuclear Waste Fuel Act (NWFA, 2002) outlines process and implementation</td>
<td>SF held in interim storage in wet or dry storage facilities located at the waste producers’ site SF from research reactors is either returned to the fuel supplier or transferred to Canadian Nuclear Laboratories (CNL) Chalk River Laboratories (CRL) for storage</td>
<td>Long-term: • Nuclear Waste Management Organization (NWMO) implementing the Adaptive Phased Management (APM) Approach - a deep geological repository (DGR) for the LTM of SF in Canada Short-term: • Interim dry storage facilities are constructed as needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Fuel Cycle Waste</td>
<td>Licensees are responsible for the funding, organization, management &amp; operation of their waste management facilities (WMFs)</td>
<td>Managed by licensee (onsite or at a dedicated WMF) Managed in-situ/ above ground mounds Managed in near-surface facilities adjacent to the mines and mills Waste from small generators transferred to licensed WMFs for management</td>
<td>• OPG planning a DGR for LTM of its low-level waste (LLW) &amp; Intermediate-level waste (ILW) • CNL assessing CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for LLW &amp; ILW • LTM of the bulk of Canada’s historic waste implemented under the Port Hope Area Initiative (PHAI) • LTM of Uranium Mines and Mills (UMM) in near-surface facilities adjacent to the mines and mills • CNL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
<td>CNL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Wastes</td>
<td>Licensees are responsible for the funding, organization, management and operation of their WMFs</td>
<td>• delay and decay • returned to manufacturer • transferred to licensed WMFs for management</td>
<td>CNL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
<td>CNL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning Liabilities</td>
<td>Licensees are responsible for the funding, organization, management and implementation of decommissioning activities licenses to give due consideration to the immediate dismantling approach when proposing a decommissioning strategy (G-219)</td>
<td>Major facilities required to keep decommissioning plans and FG up to date throughout the lifecycle of a licensed activity (G-219). These are reviewed on a five-year cycle by the licensee and regulator.</td>
<td>CNL assessing CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for LLW &amp; ILW</td>
<td>CNL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disused Sealed Sources</td>
<td>Licensees are responsible for the funding, organization, management and operation of their WMFs</td>
<td>• delay and decay • returned to manufacturer • transferred to licensed WMF for LTM • recycling by reusing, re-encapsulating, or reprocessing National Sealed Source Registry &amp; Sealed Source Tracking System</td>
<td>CRL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
<td>CRL assessing options at CRL site for hosting LTM facilities for radioactive wastes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>