

#	Page	Section	Comment
1.	i	Preface	
2.	3	Section 4.1	<p><b>“Designated, classified information”</b></p> <p>Should be changed to non-classified information as SASC does not authorize access to classified information.</p>
3.	3	4.1 5.3.1	<p><b>“Risk to the nuclear site, to personnel working there or to national security”</b></p> <p>SASC is exclusive to Protected Area access and defining it as a risk management tool that protects the entire site and the personnel working there exceeds the scope of the SASC.</p>
4.	4.	4.2 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet	<p><b>..... the necessary information to apply for the “appropriate level of clearance”.</b></p> <p>Should be changed to - the necessary information to apply for a <u>SASC</u>”. Other clearances are outside the scope of this document. Reference to Secret clearances appear elsewhere in the document and are equally out of scope.</p>
5.	4	4.2	
6.	4.	4.4	<p><b>“The criteria used to decide whether a security interview is necessary should include assessing the risk to site and national security.”</b></p> <p>Security interview is a new term that has historically been defined as a subject interview. The term subject interview is consistent with TBS 2-4 Personnel Security Standard and the creation of a new terminology is unnecessary.</p>
7.	6	5.2.2	

#	Page	Section	Comment
8	6	5.2.2 Last paragraph	<p><b>“The onus is upon the licensee to verify criminal conviction information from a trusted third party”.</b></p> <p>Can a third party be used where an inefficient CRNC process exists (such as U.S.A.).</p>
9.	6	5.2.3 2 <sup>nd</sup> Paragraph	<p><b>This section recognizes the NATO clearance if we complete the SASC documentation.</b></p> <p>If we have to complete all of the SASC process, what if any value is the NATO clearance? Perhaps this could be clarified by stating the items that can be excluded i.e. no requirement for CSIS indices check, CRNC etc.</p>
10.	6	5.2.3	