



Canadian Nuclear
Safety Commission

Commission canadienne
de sûreté nucléaire

Record of Proceedings, Including Reasons for Decision - Erratum

In the Matter of

Applicant AREVA Resources Canada Inc.

Subject Environmental Assessment Screening
Report for the proposed Caribou Project at
McClellan Lake

Hearing
Date April 7, 2010

Erratum Date May 7, 2010

ERRATUM

AREVA Resources Canada Inc. Environmental Assessment Screening Report for the Proposed Caribou Project at McClellan Lake

Following a hearing on April 7, 2010, the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC), consistent with paragraph 20(1)(a) of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*¹, concurred with the conclusions of the proposed EASR that the Caribou project at McClellan Lake is not likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects, taking into account the proposed mitigation measures.

The following corrections are made to the French and English version of the *Record of Proceedings* document issued on April 26, 2010 by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, pertaining to the EASR for the proposed Caribou project at McClellan Lake:

1. On page 2 of the document, paragraph 7 d), the sentence

“consistent with paragraph 20(1)(a) of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, the Commission will proceed to consider the application for a Waste Nuclear Substance Licence under the provisions of the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*”

is changed to

“consistent with paragraph 20(1)(a) of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, the Commission will proceed **with the consideration of the licence relating to this project** under the provisions of the *Nuclear Safety and Control Act*”

2. On page 5 of the document, paragraph 23, the sentence

“The Commission is of the opinion that regular monitoring and reporting should be part of this follow-up program and that the data should be publicly available.”

is changed to

“The Commission **also requires** regular monitoring and reporting **of areas that might be affected by the project to be performed. The follow-up program would be in addition to monitoring requirements. Regular reporting should also be part of this follow-up program and the reports made publicly available.**”

¹ S.C. 1992, c. 37.

3. On page 7 of the document, paragraph 34, the sentence

“CNSC staff has reviewed all the information available for this project and concluded that the proposed EASR (see Attachment A) is complete and meets all requirements under subsection 16(1) of the CEAA, and that no significant adverse environmental effects are anticipated as a result of implementing the project, taking into account mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6 of the Proposed EASR.”

is changed by removing the reference to Attachment A:

“CNSC staff has reviewed all the information available for this project and concluded that the proposed EASR is complete and meets all requirements under subsection 16(1) of the CEAA, and that no significant adverse environmental effects are anticipated as a result of implementing the project, taking into account mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6 of the proposed EASR.”



Marc A. Leblanc
Secretary of the Commission
Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission

Approved on: May 7, 2010